

## First Addendum To the Request for Proposals Solid Waste & Recycling Services RFP # 2024-07

The following questions were received by the City by the deadline:

1. Does the 6,300 count include the MUDs that have the interlocal agreements?

**Response – No the 6,300 count does NOT include the MUDs.** 

2. Is the \$19.00 residential rate net to contractor, or does it include the City's franchise fee?

**Response-** The current rate to the Resident is \$19.60.

3. In the Contract Section 4.19, based on Appendix D, it appears that 41 of your customers have 1x per week service now. Will they be expected to go to 2x per week service, or are these customers that the City has determined will not be under this requirement?

**Response – Reference is made to the statement that " If a Commercial Unit's** Waste is exclusively non-putrescible and of sufficiently low volume such that the Waste generated by the Commercial Customer does not exceed the volume of the Commercial Customer's Containers between collections, oncea-week collection is permitted. "

A business with a waste stream comprised primarily of food wastes must be collected at least two times per week.

4. In the Contract Section 4.19, based on Appendix D, the current commercial front-load containers are specified. Can the City please also include the current count of 95-gallon commercial hand pick up containers?

**Response – 36 Small Business Generators are serviced with one 95-gallon Poly Cart**  5. Costs for compactors will vary based on size, configuration, and other customer-specific needs. Manufacturer's equipment costs also vary from year to year. Based on these variables, we're not clear on what the City would like to see in our bid. Can the City add any specificity regarding what is needed for compactor rates? (Exhibit A, page 2)

Response – so that the City will have a rate to extend, please provide your rate for rental of a basic compactor unit, installed, with no accessories such as hoppers, chutes, etc. Since these rates will be increased annually with the rate increase, the rates should continue to reflect the best available rental rate of a base unit.

6. On Page 63 the RFP asks that Casters be a monthly fee per container. Would the City consider allowing Locks to be a monthly fee per container also?

**Response** – the rate for a lock should reflect not only the cost of the hasp and lock but reflect the additional cost of service for the driver to exit the vehicle two times to service the container. So, please provide a rate as requested.

7. In the pre-bid meeting there was a note that the recycling education fees would go into the general fund. Can the City specify what the annual \$12,000 will go toward?

**Response – The City will utilize the funds as it deems appropriate.** 

8. Will the contract language be adjusted to match the RFP document in regard to the CPI?

**Response – the Contract language addressing the Annual Rate Increase will prevail.** 

9. Will the City consider a longer period for CPI notifications of calculation?

**Response** – please indicate clearly and specifically your difference in notification dates under <u>EXCEPTIONS</u> for the City to consider.

10. We noticed that glass was not a part of the accepted recyclables list. Can the City elaborate on why they do not want glass to be recycled?

**Response – Please indicate that your firm has the ability to recycle glass in your response.**